

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

Case Title:	Chase Hamano	Plaintiff(s)
	VS.	
	Activision Blizzard, Inc., et al.	Defendant(s)
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> Case Number: 1:19-cv-01741 Judge: Jorge L. Alonso </div>		

I, Erin C. Trender hereby apply to the Court under Local Rule 83.14 for permission to appear and participate in the above-entitled action on behalf of

Activision Blizzard, Inc., Robert A. Kotick and Collister Johnson by whom I have been retained.

I am a member in good standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:

Title of Court	Date Admitted
See Attachment	

I have currently, or within the year preceding the date of this application, made pro hac vice applications to this Court in the following actions:

Case Number	Case Title	Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*

*If denied, please explain:
 (Attach additional form if necessary)

Pursuant to Local Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District of Illinois must designate, at the time of filing their initial notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court having an office within this District upon who service of papers may be made.

Has the applicant designated local counsel? Yes ☒ No ☐

If you have not designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made within thirty (30) days.

Has the applicant ever been:

censured, suspended, disbarred, or otherwise disciplined by any court?

Yes ☐No ☒

or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct?

Yes ☐No ☒

transferred to inactive status, voluntarily withdrawn, or resigned from the bar of any court?

Yes ☐No ☒

denied admission to the bar of any court?

Yes ☐No ☒

held in contempt of court?

Yes ☐No ☒

NOTE: If the answer to *any* of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.

I have read the Rules of Professional Conduct for the Northern District of Illinois and the Standards for Professional Conduct within the Seventh Federal Judicial Circuit, and will faithfully adhere to them. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

March 19, 2019

S/ Erin C. Trenda

Date

Electronic Signature of Applicant

Applicant's Name	Last Name Trenda	First Name Erin	Middle Name/Initial C
Applicant's Law Firm	Cooley LLP		
Applicant's Address	Street Address 4401 Eastgate Mall		Room/Suite Number
	City San Diego	State CA	ZIP Code 92121
	Work Phone Number 858-550-6000		

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$150.00 and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of this Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$181.00. The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$150.00. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

ATTACHMENT

Erin C. Trenda

TITLE OF COURT AND DATE OF ADMISSION:

- All New York State Courts – March 2009
- All Minnesota State Courts – December 2010
- All California State Courts – June 2011
- U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York – August 2009
- U.S. District Court, Eastern District of New York – August 2009
- U.S. District Court, Southern District of California – July 2011
- U.S. District Court, Northern District of California – May 2014
- U.S. District Court, Central District of California – February 2015
- U.S. Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit – February 2016